

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/457,109	12/07/1999	DARRYL E. RUBIN	03797.81487	7310	
28319	7590 02/08/2006		EXAMINER		
BANNER & WITCOFF LTD., ATTORNEYS FOR MICROSOFT			NGUYEN, M	NGUYEN, MAIKHANH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1001 G STREET, N.W. Suite 1100			2176	THE EXTONOLISE	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20001-4597		DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/457,109	RUBIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Maikhanh Nguyen	2176	

	-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -
THE F	REPLY FILED 11 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
† 	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) [	
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have b under : set fort may re	ions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee 87 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as h in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  CE OF APPEAL
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
1	Filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
1	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) \( \subseteq \) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) \( \subseteq \) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
ı	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
<b>л</b>	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
1	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \( \) will not be entered, or b) \( \) will be entered and an explanation of now the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed: \( \frac{none}{none} \).
	Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .
	Claim(s) rejected to <u>none.</u>
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
	PAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
- 1	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  IEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
	The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.
12. 🗀	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
	Other:
∟ . • .	Other:  HEATHER R HERNOON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 09/457,109

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended feature "wherein the display format is based upon an examination of the content of a target document page associated with the at least one of the first and second links" to claim 5 would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argues that Smith fails to teach "wherein the link relates a spot in a document page with an executable object." [Remarks, page 6]

In response, Smith's teachings "By clicking on the hypertext link with the mouse, the user directs the browser program to 'follow the link' by 'navigating' to the URL" (col.3, lines 16-18); and "clicking the mouse on the underlined text, the visitor 'navigating' to the Web page in Fig.2 which provides an overview of the online service provided by the W3K" (col.5, lines 27-34) do read-on the limitations as broadly claimed by Applicant.

Applicant argues that Smith fails to teach "the display format is based upon an examination of the content of a target document with the link." [Remarks, page 7]

In response, Smith does teach the display format is based upon an examination of the content of a target document with the link (e.g., Link properties can be specified by users ...to control what is displayed; col.4, lines 17-20 & see the Generating Link Targets in a Uniform Format discussion beginning at col.41, line 1).

Applicant argues that Smith fails to teach a link having a property indicating the display update latency of the link. [Remarks, page 8] In response, Smith teaches teach a link having a property indicating the display update latency of the link (e.g., see the Modification Additions discussion beginning at col.10, line 30).

Applicant argues that Smith fails to teach the same document page. [Remarks, page 8] In response, Smith teaches the same document page (e.g., the same electronic document; page 3, lines 21-22).